A Proposal for measuring Anisotropic Shear Viscosity in Unitary Fermi Gases

Rickmoy Samanta,[1,2] Rishi Sharma,[2,*] and Sandip P. Trivedi[2,†]

[1] *Department of Physics, Bar Ilan University, Ramat Gan, 52900, Israel*

[2] *Department of Theoretical Physics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Dr. Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai, 400005*[‡]

(Dated: November 10, 2016)

We present a proposal to measure anisotropic shear viscosity in a strongly interacting, ultra-cold, unitary Fermi gas confined in a harmonic trap. We introduce anisotropy in this setup by strongly confining the gas in one of the directions with relatively weak confinement in the remaining directions. This system has a close resemblance to anisotropic strongly coupled field theories studied recently in the context of gauge-gravity duality. Computations in such theories (which have gravity duals) revealed that some of the viscosity components of the anisotropic shear viscosity tensor can be made much smaller than the entropy density, thus parametrically violating the bound proposed by Kovtun, Son and Starinets (KSS): $\frac{\eta}{s} \geq \frac{1}{4\pi}$. A Boltzmann analysis performed in a system of weakly interacting particles in a linear potential also shows that components of the viscosity tensor can be reduced. Motivated by these exciting results, we propose two hydrodynamic modes in the unitary Fermi gas whose damping is governed by the component of shear viscosity expected to violate the KSS bound. One of these modes is the well known scissor mode. We estimate trap parameters for which the reduction in the shear viscosity is of order unity and find that the trap geometry, the damping timescales, and mode amplitudes are within the range of existing experimental setups on ultra-cold Fermi gases.

PACS numbers: 3.75 Ss, 3.75 Kk, 04.60.Cf, 05.60.-k, 67.85.-d

arXiv:1611.02720v1 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 8 Nov 2016

Introduction - The computation of transport properties of strongly interacting quantum field theories is a challenging problem and has attracted physicists working on a wide variety of systems including ultra-cold Fermi gases at unitarity [1–3], heavy ion collisions [1, 4], and neutron stars [5, 6].

The AdS/CFT correspondence [7] has provided many insights into the transport properties of such strongly coupled field theories which have gravity duals. In the limit of large t'Hooft coupling λ and large number of "colors" N_c, the dual gravity theories are effectively classical and the computation of transport properties become much easier. One finds that in all such isotropic strongly coupled theories in $3+1$ dimensions which admit smooth gravity duals, the ratio of shear viscosity η to entropy density s is $\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi}$ [8, 9] (we work in units where $\hbar = c = 1$). Weakly coupled theories typically have much larger $\frac{\eta}{s}$. This led Kovtun, Son and Starinets (KSS) to conjecture that $\frac{\eta}{s}$ is bounded from below by $1/(4\pi)$. It was later found that finite λ corrections (which correspond to higher derivative corrections in the gravity side) can drive $\frac{\eta}{s}$ below the KSS bound [10–15].

The gravity duals of ultra-cold Fermi gases and quark gluon plasma produced in heavy ion collisions are not known. However, beautiful experiments have managed to measure the value of η/s in these two systems. In each of these cases, the measured value of η/s is close to $1/(4\pi)$. For example, the value of η/s has been measured for ultra-cold fermions at unitarity for a wide range of temperatures and the minimum value (see Refs. [16–18]) is about six times the KSS bound.

The above results are for isotropic phases. But several phases in nature are anisotropic, for example spin density waves, spatially modulated phases and phases where anisotropy arises due to an external field. It is thus interesting to study the behavior of the different components of the resultant anisotropic shear viscosity tensor in such strongly coupled anisotropic systems. The situation we explore here features an externally applied field in a particular direction which gives rise to anisotropies in the shear viscosity. This possibility is well studied in weakly coupled theories in the presence of a background magnetic field [19–21]. However the study of transport coefficients in strongly coupled field theories is less explored. Recently, anisotropic gravitational backgrounds in field theory have been studied using the AdS/CFT correspondence (see [22–29]) and the computation of the viscosity in some of these anisotropic phases has also been performed (see [30, 31] and [32–37]).

The results of Ref. [35] and Ref. [38] indicate that parametric violations of the KSS bound are possible in such anisotropic scenarios. It was shown in Ref. [38] that this behavior is quite general and arises in situations where the force responsible for breaking of isotropy is spatially constant. By increasing the anisotropy compared to the temperature, the ratio for appropriate components of the shear viscosity to entropy density can be made arbitrarily small, violating the KSS bound.

It is natural to inquire if this behaviour can be observed in experiments on strongly coupled systems under appropriate conditions. While the systems studied in Refs. [35, 38] can not be created in the laboratory, the ability to tune the thermodynamics and geometry of trapped ultra-cold fermions at unitarity [17, 18, 39–41] makes them ideal candidates to see such effects.

In this paper we demonstrate that in the presence

of an anisotropic trap and an appropriate choice of parameters one can create ultra-cold Fermi systems which share many essential features of the theories considered in Refs. [35, 38]. We give a concrete proposal for the trap geometry and parameters where a parametric violation of the KSS bound is likely to be seen.

Gravity Results - We briefly review results of computations of shear viscosity in the gravity picture obtained by studying anisotropic blackbranes [35] where the breaking of isotropy is due to an externally applied force which is translationally invariant. The simplest system discussed in Ref. [35] consists of a massless dilaton minimally coupled to gravity, and a cosmological constant. The action is

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^5x\sqrt{g}\,\left[R + 12\Lambda - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi\right], \qquad (1)$$

where G is Newton's constant in 5 dimensions and Λ is a cosmological constant. The dual field theory in the absence of anisotropy is a $3+1$ dimensional conformal field theory. The dilaton profile, linear in the spatial coordinate z

$$\phi = \rho z\ , \qquad (2)$$

explicitly breaks the symmetry to $2+1$.

Using AdS/CFT one finds [35] that for a system at temperature T, (using the compact notation $\eta_{ijij} = \eta_{ij}$) $\eta_{xz} = \eta_{yz}$ (which are spin 1 with respect to the surviving Lorentz symmetry) is affected by the background dilaton. In the low anisotropy regime ($\rho/T \ll 1$):

$$\frac{\eta_{xz}}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi} - \frac{\rho^2\log 2}{16\pi^3T^2} + \frac{(6-\pi^2+54(\log 2)^2)\rho^4}{2304\pi^5T^4} + \mathcal{O}\left[\left(\frac{\rho}{T}\right)^6\right]. \qquad (3)$$

The correction to the zero anisotropy result, the KSS bound $\frac{\eta_{xz}}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi}$, is proportional to $\frac{(\nabla\phi)^2}{T^2}$ where $\nabla\phi = \rho\hat{z}$ is the driving force and $1/T$ is the microscopic length scale in the system.

In extreme anisotropy ($\rho/T \gg 1$),

$$\eta_{xz}/s \to (1/4\pi)(32\pi^2T^2/3\rho^2) \qquad (4)$$

and hence becomes parametrically small [35]. But this domain will not be physically accessible in the cold atom systems.

In contrast the η_{xy} component (which couples to a spin 2 metric perturbation) was found to be unchanged from its value in the isotropic case, $\frac{\eta_{xy}}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi}$.

Parametric reduction of the spin 1 components of η/s has been found for a variety of strongly coupled theories with a gravitational dual [30, 38].

Motivated by the above results, we may expect to observe parametrically suppressed viscosities compared to the KSS bound in systems with the following properties.

1. It is strongly interacting and in the absence of anisotropy have a viscosity close to the KSS bound.
2. The equations of hydrodynamics admit modes whose damping is sensitive to the spin 1 viscosity components Ref. [35, 38].
3. The gradient of the background potential (say in the z direction) must be large compared to a microscopic scale governing transport
4. The background potential responsible for breaking of isotropy is approximately spatially constant.
5. The velocity gradients are small enough to ensure validity of hydrodynamics.

Ultra-cold atoms- Ultra-cold unitary Fermi gases [42, 43] are strongly interacting systems with one of the lowest η/s [16–18] measured, and (based on the above criteria) a good candidate system to explore small anisotropic viscosities.

Typically they are trapped in harmonic potentials

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i m\omega_i^2 x_i^2/2 \qquad (5)$$

where i runs over the x, y, z directions and m denotes the mass of the fermionic species which we take as ^{6}Li. The trap frequencies are chosen such that $\omega_z \gg \omega_x, \omega_y$ the potential gradient is dominantly in the z direction. In Section *Anisotropy* we show how large ω_z is required to measure locally anisotropic shear viscosity.

We note that while ultra-cold Fermi gases share some important features with the theories considered in [35, 38], there is an important difference, namely, unlike the field theories of [35, 38] the stress energy tensor in a trap is not translationally invariant. Even so, the Boltzmann equation (see Eqs. 20, 23) for ultra-cold Fermi gases also predicts a reduction of η_{xz}.

Hydrodynamic modes- We find two solutions of equations of superfluid hydrodynamics in a harmonic trap, which are sensitive to the spin 1 components of the viscosity tensor. Each of these modes is characterized by the superfluid and the normal components, which we denote by $\mathbf{v}_s$ and $\mathbf{v}_n$ respectively.

The first mode, which we call the elliptic mode, has $\mathbf{v}_s = 0$ and $\mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{v}$ given by

$$\mathbf{v} = e^{i\omega t}(\alpha_x z\ \hat{x} + \alpha_z x\hat{z}) \qquad (6)$$

with the following relations:

$$\text{elliptic}: \quad \omega = 0,\ \alpha_z = -\alpha_x\omega_x^2/\omega_z^2 \qquad (7)$$

The second mode, the well known scissor mode, has $\mathbf{v}_s = \mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{v}$ given by Eq. 6 with

$$\text{scissor}: \quad \omega = \sqrt{\omega_x^2+\omega_z^2},\ \alpha_z = \alpha_x. \qquad (8)$$

We see that in the high anisotropy limit $\omega_z \gg \omega_x$, $\alpha_z \to 0$ for the elliptic mode, and hence we recover a flow profile similar to that considered in [35]. The elliptic mode has not been studied in ultra-cold gas experiments. The scissor mode [44] has been studied extensively in bosonic (for example see Refs. [45]) fermionic gases [40]; therefore we focus on it. For both modes, $\partial_j \mathbf{v}_j = 0$.

To ensure that hydrodynamics is valid in the region where the energy loss due to viscous damping is substantial, we impose the condition

$$\alpha_x < \alpha_x^{\max} = P(z_{\max})/\eta_{xz}(z_{\max}) \ , \tag{9}$$

where $z_{\max}$ is the place where the local chemical potential equals the temperature (Eq. 18). This sets the upper limit on the amplitude of the modes.

Viscous damping - The energy dissipated due to the shear viscosity is given by

$$\dot{E} = -\int d^3\mathbf{r}\, \frac{\eta_{ij}(\mathbf{r})}{2} \left(\partial_i \mathbf{v}_j + \partial_j \mathbf{v}_i - \frac{2}{3}\delta_{ij}\partial_k \mathbf{v}_k\right)^2 . \tag{10}$$

T is constant in the elliptic and scissor modes and hence thermal conduction is neglected.

For the elliptic mode,

$$\dot{E} = -\frac{1}{2}\int d^3\mathbf{r}\, \eta_{xz}(\mathbf{r})\ \alpha_x^2(1-\omega_x^2/\omega_z^2) \ , \tag{11}$$

and for the scissor mode

$$\dot{E} = -\int d^3\mathbf{r}\, \eta_{xz}(\mathbf{r})\ \alpha_x^2. \tag{12}$$

Thermodynamics and trap results - The evaluation of the energy loss from Eq. 11 and Eq. 12 requires the viscosity η as a function of the position $\mathbf{r}$ in the trap. To estimate $\eta(\mathbf{r})$ we use the local density approximation (LDA). We discuss the violation of LDA, which is related to anisotropy in the shear viscosity, in the next section (*Anisotropy*).

For the unitary Fermi gas in the thermodynamic limit, the thermodynamic functions can be factorized into universal dimensionless functions [16] and an overall scale given, for example, in terms of the number density of a free Fermi gas,

$$n_f(\mu) = (2m\mu)^{3/2}/(3\pi^2) \ . \tag{13}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} n(\mu,\ T) =& n_f(\mu)[\mathcal{G}(y) - 2y\mathcal{G}'(y)/5], \\ s(\mu,\ T) =& (2/5)n_f(\mu)\mathcal{G}'(y) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where

$$y = T/\mu \ . \tag{15}$$



FIG. 1: (Color online) Local shear viscosity in units of n_f at the center (Eq. 13) as a function of z in units of $z_{\rm trap}$ (Eq. 17) for $T = 2T_c/3$. The red curves denote the error estimate which include errors in the measurement of η/n [18] as well as errors in $\mathcal{G}$ due to errors in the measurements of thermodynamics [46]. The black dashed vertical line is at z_c.

$\mathcal{G}(y)$ can be obtained (see Ref. [47] for details) by analyzing the thermodynamic measurements carried out in Ref. [46]. Similarly, the ratios η/n and η/s are dimensionless functions of y [16–18].

The local value of the chemical potential in a harmonic trap (Eq. 5) is

$$\mu(\mathbf{r}) = \mu - \phi(\mathbf{r}) \ , \tag{16}$$

(μ without the argument $\mathbf{r}$ is the chemical potential at the center of the trap). T is independent of $\mathbf{r}$.

To estimate $\eta(\mathbf{r})$ in LDA, we find the number density at $\mathbf{r}$ using Eqs. 14, 15 for the local $\mu(\mathbf{r})$ and T and multiply it by the ratio $\frac{\eta}{n}$ which was measured for a large range of values of y in Ref. [18].

The qualitative behavior of the viscosity as a function of the distance from the center of the trap depends on the value of T/μ. We define $T_c \approx 0.4\mu$ as the critical temperature at the center of the trap. If T is below T_c, the center of the trap is in the superfluid state. Moving away from the center (in the z direction for concreteness), $\mu(z)$ decreases and at some distance $z = z_c$ there is transition from the superfluid to the unpaired phase.

If T is just below T_c the plot of the local shear viscosity as a function of z exhibits a peak at $z = z_0$ close to z_c. Qualitatively we understand this from the fact that the local entropy (see Eq. 14) is the product of $n_f(\mu(\mathbf{r}))$ which decreases as we move away from the center, while the function $\mathcal{G}'(T/\mu(\mathbf{r})))$ increases [47]. Therefore s as a function of z naturally has a peak. Since the ratio of the shear viscosity to the entropy density is a relatively slowly varying function of z in the region around

T	$\frac{z_0}{z_{\rm trap}}$	l	$\frac{T}{\mu(z)}\vert_{z_0}$	$\frac{\eta}{n}\vert_{z_0}$	$\frac{\eta}{s}\vert_{z_0}$	$\kappa_{\rm LDA}\frac{10\mu{\rm K}}{\mu}\frac{\omega_z/(2\pi)}{10^4{\rm Hz}}$
$4T_c/5$	0.63	0.98	0.54	0.89	0.85	0.05
$2T_c/3$	0.71	0.62	0.54	0.89	0.85	0.08
$4T_c/7$	0.76	0.46	0.54	0.89	0.85	0.11
$T_c/2$	0.8	0.37	0.55	0.91	0.85	0.13

TABLE I: Trap characteristics for various T/T_c. The scaling behavior of $\kappa_{\rm LDA}$ with ω_z is also shown. The entries were calculated for $\mu = 10\mu$K, $T_c = 0.4\mu$. $l = \frac{\delta z}{z_0}$ tests how well the potential can be approximated as a linear potential in the regime of interest. $\kappa_{\rm LDA}$ (Eq. 21) tests how well LDA is expected to work at z_0.

the phase transition [16], we also expect the local shear viscosity to show a peak.

An illustrative example for $T = 2T_c/3$ is shown in Fig. 1. We have defined

$$z_{\rm trap} = \sqrt{2\mu/(m\omega_z^2)}\,, \tag{17}$$

to scale the z axis and use $n_f(\mu)$ to scale the viscosity. Therefore the figure is independent of μ and ω_z if T is scaled with μ to maintain $T = 2T_c/3$. Similar behavior is seen for T between $T_c/2$ and T_c and the results are summarized in Table I.

Viscous damping dominantly (Eq. 12) arises from a region of width δz near z_0. This width can be made narrow by lowering the temperature, such that $l = \delta z/z_0 < 1$ and in this region the potential can be approximated as linear [47]. We don't consider T below $T_c/2$ because for these T, the superfluid phonon viscosity [48] is important.

All columns in Table I except $\kappa_{\rm LDA}$ (which we will discuss in the next section) are independent of μ and ω.

The upper bound on the total energy is set by the amplitude $\alpha^{\rm max}$ (Eq. 9) with $z_{\rm max}$

$$z_{\rm max} = \sqrt{2(\mu - T)/m\omega_z^2}\ . \tag{18}$$

To estimate energy scales for typical experiments, we take T, μ, ω_z close to those used in previous experiments in Ref. [17, 40]. The results are shown in Table II.

The maximum angular amplitude of the scissor mode is given by

$$\theta \approx \tan^{-1}\left((e^{\frac{2\alpha_x}{\omega_z}} - 1)/(e^{\frac{2\alpha_x}{\omega_z}} + 1)\right)\ , \tag{19}$$

For $\alpha_x^{\rm max} \sim 10^{-10}$ eV (Table II) and $\omega_z = 2\pi\times 10^4$ rads/s $\equiv 4.16\times 10^{-11}$ eV, we find $\theta_{\rm max} \approx 44.5^\circ$. This is larger than the angular amplitudes measured in [40] and hence within experimental capabilities.

The damping time τ_0 is independent of $\alpha^{\rm max}$ and $\sim 10^{-2}(\mu/10\mu{\rm K})(2\pi\times 10^4{\rm Hz}/\omega_x)^2$s. Numerically the damping rate measured in [40] was $\tau_0 \sim 10^{-3}$ seconds which is of the same order as that obtained in Table II.

Anisotropy - In LDA the shear viscosity tensor is locally isotropic. Corrections to LDA become important when the length scale associated with the background potential is shortened so that it approaches microscopic scales.

On general grounds we expect that

$$\eta_{xz} = \eta_{yz} = \eta[1 + c_2(\lambda k_F)^2\kappa_{\rm LDA}^2 + \mathcal{O}((\lambda\nabla\phi/\mu)^4)] \tag{20}$$

where λ is a microscopic length scale governing transport,

$$\kappa_{\rm LDA} = \nabla\phi/(\mu k_F)|_{z_0}\ , \tag{21}$$

$k_F = (3\pi^2 n)^{1/3}$, and c_2 is a dimensionless constant which depends on the microscopic details.

In a weakly interacting normal (unpaired) Fermi gas at low temperatures ($T < \mu$) c_2 can be calculated analytically by solving the Boltzmann equation in the relaxation time approximation [47]. In the absence of potential [21]

$$\eta = k_F^4\lambda/(15\pi^2)\ \ , \tag{22}$$

where λ is the mean free path. By analyzing the equation in a potential we obtain [47]

$$c_2 = -11/28\,. \tag{23}$$

By matching Eq. 22 with η/n at z_0 we find $\lambda k_F \approx 1$ (which incidentally means that the coupling is strong and the Boltzmann calculations are not quantitatively reliable) the corrections are governed by $\kappa_{\rm LDA}$.

In the strongly coupled regime for the unitary Fermi gas, c_i (Eq. 20) cannot be computed reliably. But it is intriguing that the weak coupling Boltzmann analysis (Eq. 23) gives $c_i < 0$ like the strongly coupled theories with gravity duals (Eq. 3).

So far most experiments on hydrodynamics of trapped Fermi gases have been done for $\kappa_{\rm LDA} \ll 1$ [17, 40]. For fixed T/T_c, $\kappa_{\rm LDA}$ scales as ω_z/μ and anisotropic viscosities can be explored by using larger ω_z or smaller μ (or both). For example, for $T = \frac{T_c}{2}$ (Table I) and $\mu = 10\mu$K (central density roughly 10^{14} atoms/cm^3), by increasing ω_z from typical values of $2\pi\times 10^4$ to 8 times this value, $\kappa_{\rm LDA}$ can be increased from ~ 0.13 to ~ 1. We expect this to lead to an order unity reduction in η_{xz} (Eq. 23).

The damping time for the scissor mode scales as $\mu/(\omega_x)^2$ and can be kept in the experimentally accessible range of about a millisecond (see Table II) while increasing ω_z (by keeping μ and ω_x same). This reduces the maximum amplitude $\theta_{\rm max}$ (Eq. 19) to 16.3°, which is still in the observable range [40].

Conclusions - We give the first proposal to measure parametrically suppressed anisotropic viscosity components in ultra-cold Fermi gases. Our analyses is motivated by the calculation of the viscosity in strongly coupled theories with gravitational duals in the presence of a linearly growing external potential. The spin 1 components of the viscosity in these systems are parametrically

T	$\alpha_x^{\max}(10^{-10}\text{eV})$	E(j) (**a**)	$\dot{E}$(j/s)(**a**)	$\tau_0(s)$(**a**)	E(j) (**b**)	$\dot{E}$(j/s)(**b**)	$\tau_0(s)$(**b**)
$4T_c/5$	2.83	5.36×10^{-22}	9.8×10^{-18}	5.4×10^{-5}	4.13×10^{-19}	1.96×10^{-17}	0.02
$2T_c/3$	2.35	3.57×10^{-22}	5.2×10^{-18}	7×10^{-5}	2.75×10^{-19}	1.04×10^{-17}	0.03
$4T_c/7$	2.019	2.54×10^{-22}	2.95×10^{-18}	9×10^{-5}	1.96×10^{-19}	5.9×10^{-18}	0.033
$T_c/2$	1.76	1.9×10^{-22}	1.8×10^{-18}	9×10^{-5}	1.47×10^{-19}	3.6×10^{-18}	0.04

TABLE II: Energy scales for various T/T_c at $\omega_z = 2\pi \times 10^4$ rads/s, $\omega_x = \omega_y = 2\pi \times 250$ rads/s and $\mu = 10\mu$K. **a** denotes the elliptic mode and **b** the scissor mode. The kinetic energy (E) is given in joules (j) and energy loss rate in joules per second, (j/s). For a fixed T/μ, the energy of the elliptic (scissor) mode scales as $\frac{1}{\omega_x\omega_y\omega_z^3}$ ($\frac{1}{\omega_x^3\omega_y\omega_z}$). The decay time $\tau_0 = E/\dot{E}$ [in seconds (s)] of the elliptic (scissor) mode scales as $\frac{\mu}{\omega_z^2}$ ($\frac{\mu}{\omega_x^2}$).

reduced from the KSS bound [35, 38].

Our proposal involves a unitary Fermi gas in an anisotropic harmonic trap. We find that for the temperature at the center of the trap between 0.2 to 0.4 times μ, the damping of oscillatory modes is dominated by a region where the background harmonic potential can be approximated as linear. AdS/CFT then suggests a reduction in the spin 1 component of the shear viscosity.

For $\mu = 10\mu$K, $T = \frac{T_c}{2}$ ($T_c \approx 0.4\mu$), and $\omega_z \sim 2\pi \times$ 77000 rad/s, we find $\kappa_{\rm LDA} \sim 1$. A Boltzmann analysis in this regime also predicts an order unity reduction in spin 1 shear viscosity components (Eq. 20).

Two hydrodynamic modes, an elliptic mode and the well known scissor mode, are sensitive to this reduction in viscosity. The angular amplitudes and the decay times are comparable to those measured in [40].

In the extreme situation for where $\kappa_{\rm LDA} \sim 1$, our theoretical estimate for the correction to the viscosity (Eq. 20) breaks down. (For example higher order terms in Eq. 20 become important. Additionally, for $\kappa_{\rm LDA} \sim 1$, $\mu/\omega_z \sim$ 2.7 and shell effects, although somewhat weak in the unitary Fermi gases [49], may also become important.) But by gradually increasing ω_z from $\omega_z \sim 2\pi \times 10^4$rad/s to $\omega_z \sim 2\pi \times 77000$ rad/s one could measure the tendency of η_{xz} to decrease.

The damping rate for the scissor mode has been measured in the BEC-BCS crossover region for weakly anisotropic traps in [40]. It will be interesting to see how the damping rate changes as ω_z is increased.

On the other extreme, damping of the breathing and the radial quadrupole mode (both insensitive to η_{xz}) was measured in the 2D Fermi gas [39]. It will be interesting to study the scissor mode in these traps for smaller ω_z.

We hope our experimental colleagues in the cold atoms community will find our proposal interesting and explore anisotropic viscosities in trapped unitary fermions.

We especially thank M. Randeria for sharing his valuable insights. We also acknowledge interactions with K. Damle, Shubhadeep Gupta, S. Minwalla, T. Schäfer, N. Trivedi, and D. G. Yakovlev. SPT acknowledges support from the DST, Government of India. We acknowledge support from the Infosys Endowment and the DAE, Government of India.

* Electronic address: rishi@theory.tifr.res.in
† Electronic address: trivedi.sp@gmail.com
‡ Electronic address: rickmoysamanta@gmail.com

[1] A. Adams, L. D. Carr, T. Schäfer, P. Steinberg, and J. E. Thomas, "Strongly Correlated Quantum Fluids: Ultracold Quantum Gases, Quantum Chromodynamic Plasmas, and Holographic Duality," *New J. Phys.*, vol. 14, p. 115009, 2012.
[2] A. Bulgac, M. M. Forbes, and P. Magierski, "The Unitary Fermi Gas: From Monte Carlo to Density Functionals," *Lect. Notes Phys.*, vol. 836, pp. 305–373, 2012.
[3] E. Taylor and M. Randeria, "Viscosity of strongly interacting quantum fluids: Spectral functions and sum rules," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 81, p. 053610, May 2010.
[4] R. S. Bhalerao, "Transport properties of the fluid produced at RHIC," *Pramana*, vol. 75, pp. 247–258, 2010.
[5] D. Page and S. Reddy, "Dense Matter in Compact Stars: Theoretical Developments and Observational Constraints," *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.*, vol. 56, pp. 327–374, 2006.
[6] M. G. Alford, H. Nishimura, and A. Sedrakian, "Transport coefficients of two-flavor superconducting quark matter," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. C90, no. 5, p. 055205, 2014.
[7] J. Maldacena, "The large-n limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," *International journal of theoretical physics*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 1113–1133, 1999.
[8] D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, "Minkowski space correlators in AdS / CFT correspondence: Recipe and applications," *JHEP*, vol. 0209, p. 042, 2002.
[9] P. Kovtun, D. T. Son, and A. O. Starinets, "Viscosity in strongly interacting quantum field theories from black hole physics," *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, vol. 94, p. 111601, 2005.
[10] M. Brigante, H. Liu, R. C. Myers, S. Shenker, and S. Yaida, "Viscosity Bound Violation in Higher Derivative Gravity," *Phys.Rev.*, vol. D77, p. 126006, 2008.
[11] M. Brigante, H. Liu, R. C. Myers, S. Shenker, and S. Yaida, "The Viscosity Bound and Causality Violation," *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, vol. 100, p. 191601, 2008.
[12] Y. Kats and P. Petrov, "Effect of curvature squared corrections in AdS on the viscosity of the dual gauge theory," *JHEP*, vol. 0901, p. 044, 2009.
[13] A. Buchel, R. C. Myers, and A. Sinha, "Beyond eta/s = 1/4 pi," *JHEP*, vol. 0903, p. 084, 2009.
[14] A. Sinha and R. C. Myers, "The Viscosity bound in string theory," *Nucl.Phys.*, vol. A830, pp. 295C–298C, 2009.
[15] S. Cremonini, "The Shear Viscosity to Entropy Ratio: A

Status Report," *Mod.Phys.Lett.*, vol. B25, pp. 1867–1888, 2011.

[16] T. Schäfer, "The Shear Viscosity to Entropy Density Ratio of Trapped Fermions in the Unitarity Limit," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. A76, p. 063618, 2007.

[17] C. Cao, E. Elliott, J. Joseph, H. Wu, J. Petricka, T. Schäfer, and J. E. Thomas, "Universal quantum viscosity in a unitary fermi gas," *Science*, vol. 331, no. 6013, pp. 58–61, 2011.

[18] J. A. Joseph, E. Elliott, and J. E. Thomas, "Shear viscosity of a unitary fermi gas near the superfluid phase transition," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 115, p. 020401, Jul 2015.

[19] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, "*Fluid Mechanics, Second Edition: Volume 6 (Course of Theoretical Physics)*," *Butterworth-Heinemann, 2 ed.*, Jan. 1987.

[20] K. Tuchin, "On viscous flow and azimuthal anisotropy of quark-gluon plasma in strong magnetic field," *J. Phys.*, vol. G39, p. 025010, 2012.

[21] D. D. Ofengeim and D. G. Yakovlev, "Shear viscosity in magnetized neutron star crust," *Europhys. Lett.*, vol. 112, no. 5, p. 59001, 2015.

[22] K. Landsteiner and J. Mas, "The Shear viscosity of the non-commutative plasma," *JHEP*, vol. 0707, p. 088, 2007.

[23] T. Azeyanagi, W. Li, and T. Takayanagi, "On String Theory Duals of Lifshitz-like Fixed Points," *JHEP*, vol. 0906, p. 084, 2009.

[24] M. Natsuume and M. Ohta, "The Shear viscosity of holographic superfluids," *Prog.Theor.Phys.*, vol. 124, pp. 931–951, 2010.

[25] J. Erdmenger, P. Kerner, and H. Zeller, "Non-universal shear viscosity from Einstein gravity," *Phys.Lett.*, vol. B699, pp. 301–304, 2011.

[26] P. Basu and J.-H. Oh, "Analytic Approaches to Anisotropic Holographic Superfluids," *JHEP*, vol. 1207, p. 106, 2012.

[27] J. Erdmenger, P. Kerner, and H. Zeller, "Transport in Anisotropic Superfluids: A Holographic Description," *JHEP*, vol. 1201, p. 059, 2012.

[28] D. Mateos and D. Trancanelli, "The anisotropic N=4 super Yang-Mills plasma and its instabilities," *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, vol. 107, p. 101601, 2011.

[29] D. Mateos and D. Trancanelli, "Thermodynamics and Instabilities of a Strongly Coupled Anisotropic Plasma," *JHEP*, vol. 1107, p. 054, 2011.

[30] A. Rebhan and D. Steineder, "Violation of the Holographic Viscosity Bound in a Strongly Coupled Anisotropic Plasma," *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, vol. 108, p. 021601, 2012.

[31] J. Polchinski and E. Silverstein, "Large-density field theory, viscosity, and '$2k_F$' singularities from string duals," *Class.Quant.Grav.*, vol. 29, p. 194008, 2012.

[32] D. Giataganas, "Probing strongly coupled anisotropic plasma," *JHEP*, vol. 07, p. 031, 2012.

[33] N. Iizuka and K. Maeda, "Study of Anisotropic Black Branes in Asymptotically anti-de Sitter," *JHEP*, vol. 1207, p. 129, 2012.

[34] K. A. Mamo, "Holographic RG flow of the shear viscosity to entropy density ratio in strongly coupled anisotropic plasma," *JHEP*, vol. 1210, p. 070, 2012.

[35] S. Jain, N. Kundu, K. Sen, A. Sinha, and S. P. Trivedi, "A Strongly Coupled Anisotropic Fluid From Dilaton Driven Holography," *JHEP*, vol. 1501, p. 005, 2015.

[36] R. Critelli, S. Finazzo, M. Zaniboni, and J. Noronha, "Anisotropic shear viscosity of a strongly coupled non-Abelian plasma from magnetic branes," *Phys.Rev.*, vol. D90, no. 6, p. 066006, 2014.

[37] X.-H. Ge, Y. Ling, C. Niu, and S.-J. Sin, "Thermoelectric conductivities, shear viscosity, and stability in an anisotropic linear axion model," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. D92, no. 10, p. 106005, 2015.

[38] S. Jain, R. Samanta, and S. P. Trivedi, "The Shear Viscosity in Anisotropic Phases," *JHEP*, vol. 10, p. 028, 2015.

[39] E. Vogt, M. Feld, B. Fröhlich, D. Pertot, M. Koschorreck, and M. Köhl, "Scale invariance and viscosity of a two-dimensional fermi gas," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 108, p. 070404, Feb 2012.

[40] M. J. Wright, S. Riedl, A. Altmeyer, C. Kohstall, E. R. S. Guajardo, J. H. Denschlag, and R. Grimm, "Finite-temperature collective dynamics of a fermi gas in the bec-bcs crossover," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 99, p. 150403, Oct 2007.

[41] R. Roy, A. Green, R. Bowler, and S. Gupta, "Two-Element Mixture of Bose and Fermi Superfluids," *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2016.

[42] S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, "Theory of ultracold atomic fermi gases," *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, vol. 80, pp. 1215–1274, Oct 2008.

[43] W. Ketterle and M. W. Zwierlein, "Making, probing and understanding ultracold fermi gases," *arXiv preprint arXiv:0801.2500*, 2008.

[44] D. Guéry-Odelin and S. Stringari, "Scissors mode and superfluidity of a trapped bose-einstein condensed gas," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 83, pp. 4452–4455, Nov 1999.

[45] O. M. Maragò, S. A. Hopkins, J. Arlt, E. Hodby, G. Hechenblaikner, and C. J. Foot, "Observation of the scissors mode and evidence for superfluidity of a trapped bose-einstein condensed gas," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 84, pp. 2056–2059, Mar 2000.

[46] M. J. H. Ku, A. T. Sommer, L. W. Cheuk, and M. W. Zwierlein, "Revealing the superfluid lambda transition in the universal thermodynamics of a unitary fermi gas," *Science*, vol. 335, no. 6068, pp. 563–567, 2012.

[47] R. Samanta, R. Sharma, and S. P. Trivedi, "The Shear Viscosity in an Anisotropic Unitary Fermi Gas," 2016.

[48] G. Rupak and T. Schäfer, "Shear viscosity of a superfluid fermi gas in the unitarity limit," *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 76, p. 053607, Nov 2007.

[49] M. M. Forbes, "The Unitary Fermi Gas in a Harmonic Trap and its Static Response," 2012.